1	
2	
3	IN RE THE MEETING OF THE)
4	BAY-DELTA ADVISORY COUNCIL)
5) ·
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	Holiday Inn, Magnolia Room
11	303 East Cordova Street
12	Pasadena, California 91101
13	
14	Tuesday, August 31, 1999 at 6:56 p.m.
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	REPORTED BY: JOANNE P. CUNNINGHAM,
20	CSR NO. 2734, RPR-RDR, CRR
21	
22	
23	
24	PORTALE & ASSOCIATES DEPOSITION REPORTERS 211 East Weber Avenue
25	Stockton, California 95202 (209) 462-3377
	1

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

1	
2	
3	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
4	
5	JOE BODOVITZ, Chairman
6	WALTER YEP, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
7	STEVE RITCHIE, Calfed Bay-Delta Project
8	KATHERINE KELLY, Department of Water
9	Resources
10	000
11	
1.2	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	,
24	
25	
	2

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

1 (All parties present, the following proceedings were 2 had at 6:56 p.m.:) 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: It's a couple of minutes 4 5 before 7:00, but I assume there's nothing terribly wrong with starting early, because we are trying to 6 be on time for all of these hearings. 7 My name is Joe Bodovitz, and as Rick said, 8 9 I will be conducting tonight's hearing. Let me go over the ground rules, which I'll do in a minute, but 10 11 the key one is that if you wish to speak tonight, it's necessary to fill out one of these yellow cards 12 on the table in the back, and we will take speakers 13 14 in the order in which the yellow cards are turned in. Now, as I said, I will be conducting the 15 hearing tonight. Although I work with CalFed 16 17 Bay-Delta, I am not part of it. Rather, I head a small nonprofit called The California Environmental 18 19 Trust. It works to help people find as much 20 agreement as possible on the kind of complex issues 21 facing California that we'll be talking about 22 tonight. 23 Let me introduce the people at the table 24 with me, who are people that are part of the

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

decision-making committee within the CalFed Bay-Delta

25

3-

project.

Kathy Kelly, who is chief of planning for the state water, Department of Water Resources.

Steve Richie you already met, the deputy director of CalFed. Walter Yep, chief of Division of Planning for the Army Corps of Engineers. Jim Leckie of the National Marine Fishery Service. I saw E.Z.E. Burts, who is a member of the Bay-Delta Advisory Committee, the federally chartered advisory committee, in the back. He waved. Anybody else from that committee?

MR. BREIDENBACH: Frances Spivey.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, Frances. I'm sorry.

I missed you. Frances Spivey Weber.

Well, welcome everybody to the hearing.

The purpose of having an outside person such as me conduct the hearing is to assist in ensuring that we have as complete and unbiased a set of hearings around the state as possible.

This is one of 15, possibly 16, hearings that are being held in all parts of California. They are all being recorded; they are all being transcribed by a court reporter. Every comment that's made will be evaluated and considered.

You may submit written comments on the Calfed environmental documents of any length, and

there's a paper on the table in the back that tells you where to send them and what the deadlines are.

 However, so that we can hear from as many people as possible, as early in the evening as possible, the rule here, as in all of the other hearings, will be that oral testimony, your statements, will be limited to three minutes. And that may seem like a short time, but you really can cover a great deal of ground.

And to help you know how you're doing with three minutes, we have this little traffic light here. And I'll turn that on when your time begins. Green means you have three minutes. Yellow means you have one minute. Red means, in fairness to the other people who are yet to follow, please conclude your sentence or at least the paragraph that you're in.

And to help move the evening along, I will call three speakers at a time. So if you happen to be in the middle of one of the rows in the back, when you hear your name called, come on up to the front, if you will, and we won't lose time with people just shuffling by other people in the different rows. And we'll try to keep some of the chairs up here in the front row clear.

If that's all clear to anybody -- if there

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

are any questions about procedure? Otherwise, again, thanks for coming and we'll get going.

The first speaker who -- the first card filled out tonight is Gene Lundquist, Fern County -- Kern County Water Agency. Sorry. I do my best with the handwriting. James Crettol, followed by Doug Carter.

So Mr. Lundquist, you're first.

MR. LUNDQUIST: Well, thank you. I'm happy to kick this off here tonight. As you said, my name is Gene Lundquist. I'm a director with the Kern County Water Agency, which is the larger ag contractor for state water and the third largest contractor for M and I.

The agency has been deeply involved in the development of the CalFed program from its inception, and we have a large stake in its outcome.

As an agency director, I have consistently been supportive of CalFed. I still feel that CalFed is the best opportunity we have to resolve the issues in the Delta.

I recall that a goal of CalFed was for progress to be made in all the solution areas at the same time so that everyone could, quote, get better together.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

That doesn't seem to be the path that CalFed is currently on. As I read through the documents, I get the sense that CalFed is paying a lot more attention to the needs of the environment than it is to the needs of the people who are going to be expected to pay the costs.

Let me ask a question. Why isn't there a document as part of the EIR package that lays out what CalFed's plans are for storage? The CalFed documents all list various benefits that would come from adding storage to the water supply system, such as water supply reliability, making water transfers more workable, reducing stress on levees, timing of flows for the environment, and yet we do not have a document that provides any details whatsoever on CalFed's plans for storage.

All we have are unclear references to the fact that storage will only be considered once water use efficiency targets are met sometime in the future.

I know that environmental stakeholders are convinced that their so-called soft path is the best way. I agree that water conservation is important.

Kern County Water Agency is already a signatory to both the California Urban Water

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Conservation Council and the Ag Water Management Council. This makes the agency somewhat unique and demonstrates that we are committed to further improving water conservation in our area.

I just don't understand how CalFed can list the many potential benefits from additional storage and then hold these benefits hostage to water use efficiency, which is only one aspect of the overall program.

CalFed has made no new decisions on if new storage should be -- will be built, when it would be built, nor how much would be built. So I'm not clear, if I were to go home to my constituents, how I could justify having supported CalFed.

It looks like they might end up with further reductions in their water supplies, and that is not progress as I measure it.

As I stated, I think that CalFed still is the best hope we have of resolving these thorny issues in the Delta, but if I could ask CalFed to do one thing, it is this: Pay as much attention to increasing the water supply as you have paid to increasing ecosystem habitats.

Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lundquist.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

James Crettol, Doug Carter, and Doug Mosebar.

MR. CRETTOL: Good evening. My name is Jim Crettol. I'm the president of the Kern County Farm Bureau. I'm also on the board of directors of the Semitropic Water Storage District in Kern County.

We're are a water district that many years ago started planning on a water banking program to meet the future water needs of Kern County, along with some other interests. We have the Kern Water Bank and our Arvin Edison water storage districts, I think of which you are all aware, a very successful program down in our county.

It's very un- - it's not parallel with the process of CalFed. I'm afraid that what we did was come together with farmers, urban dwellers, and environmental concerns, especially in the Kern Water Bank. There's a whole environmental restoration program going on there to figure out water needs for our county, and we didn't do it through this whole CalFed process.

The CalFed process in my opinion has been very stagnant, very slow, perhaps a few too many participants, and isn't streamlined enough to really come to a true solution in any quick format. I mean,

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

the latest thing to come out is, well, need another seven-year study to figure out solutions and if storage is even a part of any solution to California's water needs and Delta solutions, which I certainly think it is.

An issue that happened this year, I think many people are aware in this room — I noticed many farmers around the room. I'm a farmer myself. We lost approximately 500,000 acre-feet of water out of the San Luis Reservoir. City of Santa Clara, Santa Clara County was very concerned about the amount of water they were going to have toward the end of this year, and we're concerned about our water supplies down here in the south, all the result of environmental concerns over a fish that is not native to the Delta, that I'm aware of. And all this happened in a heavy rainfall year. A heavy rainfall year of 1999. Had this been a dry year, what would have happened?

There are serious shortages looming on all of us here in the future with another 15 million people projected to be in the state of California by the year 2020. Department of Water Resources, their Bulletin 160 states very plainly, 47 and a half million people here by the year 2020, and we have to

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

meet those needs.

Conservation is definitely there.

Conservation, et cetera, et cetera, are all part of the program, but I would just like to summarize a little analogy I put together of the CalFed process in the hope of speeding it up.

CalFed is like a train going down a railroad track, its departure point somewhere in Southern California. The destination is Sacramento. It's run out of fuel several times along the way, but it's been refueled. The passengers on the train, Northern California water interests, San Francisco environmentalists, property owners in the Delta, agriculture and Southern Californians, have been fighting for seats on this crowded train at every stop. Currently it's sitting on a siding in Stockton, near the Delta, waiting for track repair caused by floods because of no -- because of no water storage north of the Delta. The tracks cannot be repaired until a drain is put in place to run water south and new storage is built to control future flooding. So let's please speed up the CalFed process.

Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Bravo.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

Doug Carter, Doug Mosebar, Don Mills. MR. CARTER: Good evening. My name is Doug Carter. I'm a third-generation farmer. I farm north of Bakersfield in McFarland, a town of 6,000.

People throughout California benefit from the state's highly productive farmland, whether they work on the land, live in rural communities or shop at a grocery store in the city. Actions that hurt our rural areas hurt our cities too, because thousands of urban jobs involve moving, processing, and marketing farm products from the country. People in the cities need the affordable high quality food produced on California farms. The people of California, urban and rural, will work together to assure reliable water supplies for our future.

Nearly half of the state's developed water supply already goes to environmental uses. CalFed plans would redirect even more water away from the people. This comes at a time when our growing population will need more water to sustain itself. CalFed must make a strong and specific commitment to enhance water supplies and reliability for the people of California.

Farmers, industries, and urban dwellers

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

will continue improving efficiency of water use, but improved efficiency can't offset the huge increase in demand driven by California's rapidly increasing population. We must add to the total water supply to meet the water and food needs of our people.

The document relies on taking water away from people through transfers, conservation, and idling productive land. Instead of subtracting from one group or use to provide for another, we should add to the water supply to accommodate all California needs.

The CalFed document indicates people should expect less water most of the time. Instead, CalFed must assure there's enough water available to meet all needs.

CalFed should aim for a higher vision of the future. Its current plans are based wholly on conservation, reallocation, and deprivation. CalFed must minimize effects on farmland. The document acknowledges what it calls "irreversible and irretrievable" conservation of hundreds of thousands of acres of prime and unique farmlands.

The only potential benefits to farms involve vague references to improved water quality and supply reliability. Millions -- I underline,

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

be dispelled so it doesn't confuse discussions about how CalFed should address our state's water problems.

According to the Department of Water Resources, two-thirds of the precipitation California receives each year stays in the environment through evaporation and use by native plants. Of the runoff into rivers and streams, more than 30 percent stays in the environment as outflow to the ocean. Of the remaining runoff, 46 percent is dedicated to the environment -- that's currently, of course -- and 43 percent to farms, and 11 percent to urban uses.

Farmers have also done more with their share of the state's water. We have made constant and consistent improvements in water efficiency. Total water use on California farms is now less than it was 30 years ago, but our total production of crops is 67 percent greater. We're very proud of that. We know conservation.

Conservation is not a limited source, however, of new water. Conservation has ecological consequences. Plants need a certain amount of water to produce a crop, and the soil needs a certain amount of water to prevent salts from building up.

Despite our efforts at conservation, some people still act as though farmers are to blame for

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

millions of people will be affected by CalFed's decisions.

Please remember the people.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you Mr. Carter.

Doug Mosebar followed by Don Mills, followed by George Longfellow.

MR. MOSEBAR: Good evening.

Correct me if I'm wrong. Everything said between 6:00 and 7:00, that's not part of the record? It does go down on the record or does not?

MR. YEP: Not.

THE HEARING OFFICER: It does not.

MR. MOSEBAR: Okay. Thank you.

Good evening again. My name is Doug Mosebar and I'm a farmer from San Ynez and also Vice President of California Farm Bureau.

When I attended the CalFed hearing last week in Huntington Park, I was surprised to hear several speakers revive an old myth about agricultural water use. Some people still believe that farmers use 80 percent of California's water supply. Left unchallenged, that myth allows people to believe California's water problems can be solved by taking water away from farmers. The myth needs to

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

the environmental problems in the Bay-Delta. Farmers are not the enemy. Drought is the enemy.

CalFed must place greater emphasis on development of new water supplies. Adding to our supplies will give our water system greater flexibility to provide for needs -- for all needs, human and environmental, during the next drought, and the next drought is inevitable.

CalFed must also minimize its impacts on farmland. California farmland is an ecological resource of global importance and provides economic benefits to farmers, farm workers, and millions of people in both rural and urban California.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Mosebar.

Don Mills, George Longfellow, Chuck Draxler.

MR. MILLS: My name is Don Mills. I'm a board member of the Association of California Water Agencies, Region 6. We represent Madera, Fresno and Kings County.

The taxpayers within the district I represent have many areas of concern with the current direction of the CalFed program. It appears that given the mismatch between Bay-Delta water supplies

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

and projected beneficial uses, the program's revised Phase 2 report fails to address the true impacts of 20 million more people trying to share those same water supplies that 30 million Californians currently fight over.

If all the new Californians use about 300 gallons of water per day, like the rest of us, they will need 6.6 million acre-feet of water every year.

You list your water supply options as (1) urban and ag water use efficiency, (2) urban recycling, (3) active conjunctive use, (4) voluntary water transfers made possible through conservation or ag land fallowing, and (5) new storage. Four out of five of your water supply options create no new real water.

- No. 1. Water conserved by agriculture in the cities in most areas will remain there. If, in an overdrafted groundwater basin the water that is conserved will reduce the amount of groundwater pumped, it will not result in some new water supply that can be redirected.
- 2. Increased urban recycling is on everyone's wish list. Much of our city's wastewater is already being put to beneficial uses. Most cities use groundwater as their only supply, and as they

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

grow, they will pump more groundwater that can also

can recycled. But this creates no new water, only recycled groundwater.

3. Conjunctive use is what we've been

- 3. Conjunctive use is what we've been doing for 50 years in the San Joaquin Valley. It is not a new supply. It's how we deal with our surface water shortage.
- 4. Transfers in ag land fallowing create no new water. They take resources, jobs, and the economy from one area of the state and move it to another. Only surface storage can create new water.

Your report has a list of 12 potential projects that will be studied. My wish would be to construct all of them. But your report indicates that somewhere between zero and 6 million acre-feet of new storage is the maximum amount needed.

The zero part is ridiculous. When I do the math for 20 million more people, using 300 gallons of water per day, it comes to 6.6 million more acre-feet. But it takes 900 gallons of water per day to grow the food they will eat. So unless we tell them they can come in but they can't eat, we will need an additional 20 million acre-feet of dependable water supply to grow their food.

Some of your water supply options take

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

water away from the very same productive farmland that they -- that we will feed them with. The problems that 20 million more people create will not be solved by improving access to existing supplies or by more flexible water supply management or by another CalFed catch phrase, increased utility of the available water supply.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Mills, the three minutes are gone.

MR. MILLS: Otherwise, water rationing will become a way of life for every Californian.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

George Longfellow, Chuck Draxler, Jim Verboon.

MR. LONGFELLOW: Good evening. My name is George Longfellow. I am past president of the Kings County Farm Bureau. I farm 1500 acres and have an 850 cow dairy in Kings County.

You have heard and you'll hear lot more comments surrounding the CalFed proposals tonight, and it seems to me you need to frame the problems by posing certain questions. I put together a list of questions that I – I am sure you have considered, but I'd like to make sure you do consider.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Why do we need agriculture?

Do people need to eat?

Do they need affordable, high quality food?

Are we so removed from agriculture that we don't know and don't care how and where our food comes from?

Why would we think about retiring some of the best farm ground in the world?

Why don't we value our farm ground and our food supply enough to make certain there is adequate water?

Do we know that diverting ag water will help the environment?

How do we know?

Why do we let water run to the ocean in periods of heavy rainfall when it could be stored for use at other times?

Is there a better way?

Where are we going to get the water to support the estimated 15 million people by the year 2020?

Will it again come from agriculture, as is now proposed?

Will there be new water developed? Will there be enough food?

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

demands from the Delta South. Without adding to those supplies, you're only going to share the pain a

One thing I'd like to thank is for the addition of the Los Banos hearing. That will -- that was very needed, because that's an area that's been heavily impacted already by the water situation and

The current proposal, unless it's modified

through the system, to where we don't have the losses

reallocate water elsewhere, and we're going to have a

shortage somewhere else. You just move the shortage.

Currently we have a groundwater overdraft

somewhere between 1 and 2 million acre-feet a year.

a ground water overdraft obviously, you know, is not

This proposal appears to be deficient for

agriculture, the environment, and the urban interests

of the San Joaquin Valley, in particular, and there

is not enough water currently for our current water

If that is not changed and not rectified, then - and

sustainable -- we're going to have a 1 to 2 million

to include additional storage, water efficiencies

that go out the Golden Gate, is simply going to

situation existing in the San Joaquin Valley,

acre-foot hole in our supply.

little bit more with other people.

will be impacted more stressfully as time goes on by

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Do you want answers to these questions?
And are you willing to listen to answers to these questions?

Do you want to maintain agriculture as one of our greatest strengths, or do you want to undermine it?

Will you listen, really listen, to Bill Pauly, our California Farm Bureau President, or Doug Mosebar, who has already spoken, and other representatives as they offer their ideas on CalFed or not?

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Longfellow. Chuck Draxler, Jim Verboon, and Ernie Sayler [sic].

MR. DRAXLER: Hi. I'm Chuck Draxler. I'm a dairyan. I'm the president of the Kings County Farm Bureau. I - dairies need water and cows also need water to grow the feed.

Does anyone here really think we will be fine going another 25 years, with growing population, without -- without growing our water supply?

We do not have a substantial supply of water from the Delta South, without any more growth. We have grown our water demands by overpumping our

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

groundwater supplies beyond their capacities to refill the aquifers. The so-called solution presented will be a long-term disaster without storage. And without storage, I'm afraid that farmers will become an endangered species.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
Jim Verboon, Ernie Sayler [sic], Kelly
Deming.

MR. VERBOON: Good evening. I'm Jim Verboon, past president of the Kings County Farm Bureau. I'm a member of the State Board of Directors, California Farm Bureau, and my job is a professional environment manager. You might know me by my trade name, farmer, but that's what I do, is manage the environment.

The problem here is we don't have enough storage or enough developed water to meet everybody's needs. You've got to short the environment, you've got to short the urban sector, you've got to short the farmers.

A shortage cannot be successfully resolved without adding to the supply. Reallocation is going to be a disaster for somebody, and unfortunately, I feel those cross-hairs kind of -- kind of focussing in on me.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

this.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Verboon.

Ernie Sayler [sic], Kelly Deming, Ken Doty. MR. TAYLOR: Hi. My name is Ernie Taylor. I'm a CVP farmer.

I would kind of like to clear something up about water conservation. I conserve a great deal of water. I conserved, my last year, 33 percent of my water allocation through sprinklers, aluminum pipe. And at the end of the year, I got a bill for \$100,000 because I didn't use it. I got stung for it, for conserving water. So I'm doing my job conserving water, but you guys -- but I got sent a bill from the water district. And I am a water user. The consumers are the beneficiaries.

So, you know, we get penalized, but the consumers are the end users. So I can't stress enough that, you know, people at the CVP, water users there, are like me. They conserve water, because they want water for next year's crop, but we get penalized because there's no additional storage. We will save the water, but there's no storage. So you guys have to address that problem.

We're doing our part; you're just not doing

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

C-022138

yours. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.
Kelly Deming, Ken Doty, Victor Tognazzini.
MS. DEMING: Hi. My name is Kelly Deming, and I was born and raised in Kings County. And my grandfather was a farmer, my dad is a crop duster, and my other grandfather was a cotton ginner. So I am intimately aware of the agricultural industry.

There's a couple of things that I just want to say. That without water, the food that we eat and the clothes that you wear, the clothes that everybody wears, will not happen.

The San Joaquin Valley and Southern California is the fruit basket, the bread basket, the salad bowel of this country. We provide over 50 percent of the fruits and vegetables for this country. And without additional storage facilities, we will not be able to do that.

Just like my shirt says, it takes a lot of farmers to make a sandwich. Not just my sandwich, but your sandwich.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Miss Deming. Ken Doty, Victor Tognazzini, James Bond, from the city of Encinitas.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

MR. DOTY: Good evening. My name is Ken Doty. I am a citrus and avocado grower in Goleta, California. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this process.

First, I'm concerned that the plan places such a low priority, far into an uncertain future, on creation of additional water storage in our growing state. While conservation and increased water use efficiency must play a large role in our efforts, we must plan for the drought periods that are sure to come in our state's climate.

I am also concerned about the delivery removal from production of several hundred thousand acres of some of our best farmland. The demand for the food currently produced on this land will not cease. Given that California's farmers are among the world's best, most efficient growers, how many acres of rain forest or pampas will it take to replace the land you propose to retire?

Last, I'll tell you this: Farmers don't use water. You probably think I'm nuts. They do, too. But every gallon, every acre-foot of water that growers apply to crops comes to your dinner table as broccoli or beef or milk or potatoes or carrots. Everyone in this state who eats is an agricultural

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

water users.

As I understand it, this process was initially designed to provide a fair, balanced solution to the Bay-Delta problems. Unfortunately, this plan is balanced on the backs of California's farmers.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Doty.

Victor Tagnazzini, James Bond, and -- I'm having trouble reading the next one. It looks like Dar El Portay.

MR. POITER: Poiter.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Poiter.

MR. TAGNAZZINI: Good evening. I am Victor Tagnazzini. I'm a farmer from Santa Maria on the Central Coast.

I'm proud to be a part of California's multifaceted agricultural business, which provides the safest and most plentiful supply of food and fiber in and for our nation.

I'm here because the CalFed proposals place my livelihood and the livelihoods of farmers, farm workers, and countless others in our state in eminent danger. The concept and inception of this process was and is needed to revitalize the Delta and to work

0 (000) 460 2277

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

out solutions to the problems that have been made manifest over many years. CalFed has gone significantly beyond the scope of the manifest needs.

I have serious concerns for the agricultural interests in the Delta area, but I'm also very concerned about the impacts of this process on the Central Coast area. The plan does not provide many specifics, but I think the devil may be in the details.

Why is the Central Coast included in the solution area? What implications can be drawn from that? The CalFed Draft Water Use Efficiency Program calls for additional improvement in water use efficiency by Central Coast agriculture. What happens to those whose practices are at the peek of technological efficiency? How will they be penalized if they have no improvement capability? How will CalFed's plan affect the ability of farmers in our area to use ground water? How much land and water in our area are in CalFed's acquisition sites?

I want to put a couple of faces to this, to personalize my concerns. Juan Soto works for me as a harvesting supervisor. Juan became a citizen last March. His pride in his new country is much like that of the pride he has for his 10-year-old

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Juan has no formal education, but he is one of the most capable men I know in his field. What happens to Juan when this process continues in its unbalanced conclusion? -- to its unbalanced conclusion.

The other face is that of my 13-year-old daughter, Allison. She started her freshman year of high school last week, after spending her summer working with me. She had a love of agriculture for as long as she can remember, and this summer told her mother and me that she wants to get her degree in agriculture and follow in my footsteps in farming. She has her heart set on a career that has been my life and my livelihood.

Will Allison see the demise of California's agriculture and her dream at the hand of an out-of-control CalFed process? And where will the food of the future come from? Will we have to pay some other country for the food for our tables? And what will we know of their growing practices, water quality, pesticides? What recourse do we have when their country holds us up for higher prices? Will we

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

The Colorado River, right now we're overdrawing to the tune of 500- to 800,000 acre-feet a year. That must stop. That will stop.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

29

The Bay-Delta situation, we've built a wonderful conveyance facility that will probably never be used to more than 50 percent of its capacity. And as one farmer mentioned earlier, in the midst of a wet year, we ran into almost a disastrous environmental drought. So that doesn't give us too much comfort either.

Now, if you add to that the fact that the San Diego region really is at the end of the pipeline, literally, and that that pipeline runs through the Metropolitan Water District, which, due to their -- the act of the district requires that if we entered into drought conditions, we would get 50 percent of our normal supply -- if they followed the rule. Everyone says they'll never follow the rule, but they won't change it either.

So we're sitting on an \$89 billion economy that's in deep, deep jeopardy. What are we doing about that? Well, the San Diego region this year will use 13 percent less water than it did ten years ago, with 10 percent more people using that water. So we're doing that. By 2015 we expect to have

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

have even and odd days at the grocery store, as we had at gas pumps during the energy crisis?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Tognazzini, I'm sorry. The three minutes --

MR. TOGNAZZINI: Well, this is far-fetched, but there's a real concern. I would just like to have some balance. I'd like to have a document that reflects the original intent of this process, because right now this dog won't hunt.

Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: James Bond, Dar El Poiter, and Glenn Rosen.

MR. BOND: Good evening. My name is Jim Bond, and I'm a - kind of a eclectic sort. I'm a director on the San Dieguito Water Board in Encinitas. I am also a director on the San Diego County Water Authority Board. and I'm a councilmember of the city of Encinitas of about 60,000 people.

And my input this evening will be a kind of a collection of those three views. And that view is really one of deep concern for the San Diego region, in particular, and Southern California in general.

We import, as you all know, 90 percent of our water, and that comes from two places, the Colorado River or the Bay-Delta.

30

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

20 percent of our demands met by conservation, an additional 25 percent through conserved ag water -thank you, farmers -- from our friends in Imperial Valley, if that's allowed to go through.

So what we need is assurances from CalFed that you won't cut existing supplies, that you will find new supplies in the future, verifiable new supplies, and that, finally, we need to know what's a fair share for us to pay for the benefits received from an urban perspective.

We'll continue to conserve. We'll continue to do everything we can to make every drop of water count. But folks, that won't be enough.

Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Bond. Dar El Poiter, Glenn Rosen, Tim Brick.

MR. POITER: I am Dar El Poiter. Sierra Club, **Endangered Species, Field and Streams, Nations and** Forests.

And what concerns me here is I heard previously, it's our little gab fest, what was being done about the habitat for the steelhead salmon, and whether these caseways or whatnot would really work, because you have to get above the dams for spawning grounds, and this is necessary to return a balance to

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

32

the land. It's already been raped for water for whoever's concern, and this must be understood.

Now, there are ambiguous programs going on right now with the Department of Water and Power, Los Angeles/San Gabriel River Watershed. That is, to conserve water from storm runoff, your sink, bath water being recycled for grey water conditions to water with, and so on. And I think you can do much more. And we have most of the population. But the concern is to get back to the ecosystem, which needs returning to its near - close to - as it ever was before, because this also cools our planet, our state, our country.

Now, one of the programs that was brought up with this, rip up the asphalt, plant trees and plants. You can cool easier. But this has all been forgotten by most ends.

I wouldn't like to fight with ag business about how their feelings are about water, but we still need return those ecosystems back to something close to where they were for us to survive, period, as a nation and as a country.

Thank you.

1 2

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Poiter. Glenn Rosen, Tim Brick, and John Morris.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

MR. ROSEN: I am with the Sierra Club's Ancient Forest Task Force. I'm with Hilda Bay [phonetic], and with Dorothy Green's Vision for the Future of Los Angeles and San Gabriel watershed project.

I am very much concerned, in coming here now, about the forest set up from the High Sierras. As you have indicated, the Sacramento River is one of your key sources of everything. And as I had spoken earlier today, I find that there are many words that are coming from the forest service, but not much in the way of stewardship, and I would like to recommend that CalFed work very closely with both Dombeck, the chief of the forest service, and the various rangers who are in charge of the various 11 forests here in the West Coast. And I feel very strongly on this. I couldn't put it in three minutes. I'm just trying to give it as our lead.

In addition, I would also like to refer to the fact that I'm opposed to dams. I don't think that's a particularly strong point. I think our current water system is basically a failure based on dams. And I think through conservation, through all groups -- God grant that there's not enough water -- you never will have enough water.

But I think we are all trying to cut back,

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

and it isn't just we're jumping on the farmer. We're not. We're going through a lot of things locally, you have no idea that we're trying to cut back on.

I thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Rosen. Tim Brick, John Morris, Casey Alesso.

MR. BRICK: Hello. I'm Tim Brick, and I'm a vice chairman of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and on that board I represent the city of Pasadena, so I really want to welcome you here and welcome all of our guests here to Pasadena, the City of the Roses.

Having offered those greetings, though, I want to express our deep disappointment of the failure of the CalFed program to effectively deal with the water quality issues that matter most to Southern California.

We have two particular kinds of water quality issues. One is with regard to salinity, which will have severe impacts on the programs that we plan for water recycling and for groundwater storage in Southern California in the future.

Without significant improvements in the salinity coming from Northern California water, we will not be able to achieve the conservation and

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

reclamation goals that we have set. But the most important thing that I want to focus on this evening are the health impacts of the water quality coming from the Bay-Delta.

As you know, the presence of bromide and total organic carbon in the water, which severe--increases steadily as it moves through the Delta, then when that water is treated by chlorine and other methods, disinfection by-products form. And we know that these disinfection by-products are carcinogenic, that they lead to spontaneous miscarriages, and a recent study in the Journal of Epidemiology this month from Denmark indicates that, in fact, there's also birth defects associated with carcinogens. So a very serious health problem directly related to drinking water quality.

Now, your plan basically sets aside decisions on the effective steps that are necessary in order to meet the water quality goals for seven years; however, we are faced with the inexorable march of regulations. In December of 1998, regulations were approved which are going to force us to spend \$267 million to improve drinking water treatment at two of our facilities. There's going to be new -- those standards will take effect in 2001.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

There's going to be new standards in 2002 and 2006. which are going to cost Southern California literally billions of dollars.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Southern California would rather have that money be spend on more productive purposes. We're ready to do our share in order to improve the Delta watershed and the Delta environment, but the cost of the advanced treatment facilities that were mentioned in your film and are mentioned in your program literally will cost Southern California ten times as much as the treatment that we feel would be more appropriate. It literally will cost urban Southern California billions of dollars and, I think, undercuts the viability of your program, because if we have to put billions of dollars into treatment costs which could be effectively dealt with by source control, it's going to undermine Southern California support for environmental improvements in the Bay-Delta.

Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Brick. John Morris, Casey Alesso, William Barnes. John Morris?

Casev Alesso, William Barnes, Ron Palmer. MR. ALESSO: Hi. My name is Casey Alesso. I'm

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

president of the Los Angeles County Farm Bureau, and I am also a farmer. I work on a farm, for a farmer up in the Willow Springs area, and I also farm a little bit of my own. I'm basically a small farmer.

What I had to say earlier, about those comments about the aggressive conservation programs, and then talking about the farmer and then showing the farmer on the earlier-shown video, maybe a better term for that would be aggressive compensation programs for conservation, if that were the case, and I hope that would be it.

A lot of times the farmers do take the brunt of any water talks that come along, and usually we're left holding the bag. I do believe the reason for that is, with a little history lesson, in the 1900s over 60 percent of the population were farmers, and they wouldn't be left holding the bag. In the 1940s it declined just a little bit, to 45 percent, but they still had a strong voice. Now, less than 2 percent of this nation is farmers. How are we going to get any representation with less than 2 percent?

I do believe that with this less than 2 percent, we are a minority. We have our own culture. We might come from different backgrounds.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

We have Asians, we have blacks, we have whites. We have all different races. But we are our own culture. We're a minority. And we need to be heard on this subject.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

37

I want to say a couple of things about where you want your food to be grown. Do you want it to be grown in California which has the strictest environmental regulations, or do you want it from other places, like we heard recently about the hepatitis scare of infected strawberries from Mexico in our school yards. If you want to have safe, reliable food, keep the water for California farmers.

CalFed must return to its basic mission, coordinating a plan to assure reliable, high quality water for California's people while addressing Bay-Delta environmental problems. The shocking size of CalFed's proposed solution area and the duplication of existing efforts show dramatically how far CalFed has overreached. At the same time the agency has produced an amazingly long, complicated document which still manages to omit many key details.

We should be looking to move California into the 21st century. We can't depopulate people.

People are coming. We can't depopulate the food

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

supply. The food supply needs to be here. It needs to be safe.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Alesso. William Barnes, Ron Palmer, Frank Forbes.

MR. BARNES: I am William Barnes, and I'm from Lancaster. I have lived there all my life. I have -- I am probably 90 -- I am older than probably 90 percent of the people here, and I've farmed probably more - 90 percent more than most of these people here. I farmed for over 60 years. I dry farm and so I'm not involved in wanting to get water to my land, except from the heavens, and -- but I am concerned about my own well and stuff like that.

I don't want to take up too much of your time, because most of this stuff has been gone over here. My concern is that you concentrate on getting more water for the state of California and for everybody in it. My -- this fellow with the lack of hair that was back here made a real good point of 20 - 20 million more people for the next 20, 30 years.

There's not going to be too many of those that are farmers. They are going to be mostly living people in cities, and they will vote, and maybe 20

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

40

39

'years from now, we will have even less clout than we do now as farmers.

And I can see some bills coming up, representing the city population against the farmer population, and I can see how they could vote, and we may not even have enough water to drink, and we would send most of our money to Arizona and Mexico for our food. And I just don't -- I don't think I'll have to worry about it. I'm old enough. But I do have children and grandchildren.

And I thank you for the time.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Barnes.

Ron Palmer, Frank Forbes, and Herley Jim Bowling, as best I can read this.

MR. PALMER: Thank you. My name is Ron Palmer. I'm the general manager of the Foothill Municipal Water District. We're a member agency of Metropolitan Water District. We rely on imported water to meet 100 percent of our needs. We serve the area just to the north of us here, the city of La Canada/Flintridge, the community of Altadena, and the community of La Crescenta.

We have been following the CalFed process from -- well, for a long time, and we've communicated with you on two previous times and transmitted our

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

me -- the current multibillion dollar estimate for this program, we must have assurances that this -that specify water supply reliability goals and specify means for meeting those goals, including increased use of groundwater storage in Southern California through conjunctive use programs must be adopted as part of this program.

In summary, under the current program, we do not feel that the water quality nor the water reliability concerns have been adequately addressed, and unless they are adequately addressed, we cannot support a program that will cost our water users and their children and their grandchildren billions of dollars without the assurances that these expenditures will provide the fundamental needs that we need through the process.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Palmer.

Frank Forbes, Herley Jim Bowling, Harry Baldwin.

MR. FORBES: Thank you very much. I am here mainly to support comments made by Director Brick and by Mr. Palmer. I am a member of the Metropolitan Water District Board. I'm also on the board of directors of the Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

position on the process.

At this time we are going to repeat our growing and increasing concern with the direction that this process is going. We recognize that the process is vital to the social, environmental, and economic health of not only our people in our district, but also the state of California.

But the current proposal, the current program, we feel does not provide the assurances in two key areas that we need. The first area that we are very concerned about is, the solution must include assurance that we will receive measurable improvements in water quality. I don't need to expound on the water quality issues. I think Mr. Brick very eloquently described those.

The current approach doesn't provide this assurance, and it does not provide the assurances that we're going to be able to meet anticipated water quality regulations and - including the salinity management problems that we see coming down the road.

The second area of concern is that the program must provide us with reliability assurances necessary for -- for us to support this extremely costly program.

Due to the current billion dollar -- pardon

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Water District. We cover an area with over a million population, to the east of you, east of Pasadena, and we're very concerned on the water quality and the reliability.

We're not great users of Metropolitan, but what we do use, we need good quality. If we don't get the right kind of blends in water quality, we have problems in water replenishment. The salt load gets too high.

If we have to drink straight Colorado River, we're going over our -- probably doubling the allowable load that will be allowed in the basin. And this gets us into trouble.

We also are working on a re- - reclamation project. I'll second the thing that was said earlier. That project is stalled, dead in the water right now, because of research that's needed by the Department of Health Services. They want us to finance it. We really don't need it, as long as we've got Metropolitan water.

We don't need to do reclaim, but we want to for the public, and it's the way of the future. And we've been working on this project for close to ten years. And suddenly, we're told, "I don't think you can do it until you study pass-through pathogens,"

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

what -- going -- what water standards you mean. We might have to meet the proposed or the public health goals, which some of them no one knows how to meet. And those are all problems that we face.

I want to also support the information that you've received from the Met staff on the needs of Metropolitan, including the statements by the gentleman from Encinitas and San Diego Water Authority. It's a big problem. It's not an easy one, but I hope you're up to making some changes in the plan, to get water quality and reliability to the people that you really expect to pay for it.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Forbes.
Mr. Bowling, Vice Mayor Harry Baldwin of
San Gabriel, Steve Arakawa of the Metropolitan Water
District.

MR. BOWLING: Hi. Thanks for this opportunity to address the California CalFed process. I think it's a very interesting process that brings us all together and sharing from different groups, learning together about a very complex situation that affects us all.

If the farmers don't make it, nobody makes it. If the environment doesn't make it, nobody makes

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

it. And if the urban folks don't do their part.

we're not going to make it either. So we're all in this together.

I think that California must aggressively invest in solutions that are the least expensive, least environmentally damaging, and greatest at creating water self-sufficiency, and community jobs. These are water conservation and efficiency, pollution, prevention, and drinking water treatment. The EIR/EIS fails to discuss what an aggressive program could accomplish, focussing on a rather modest, limited approach.

I know, from working and living in the city for 25 years and seeing the progress in conservation, I know that we've saved over 700,000 acre-feet of water while adding 1 million people since 1970. And I think there's a lot to be said for conservation and the other measures I've mentioned as being our most reliable source of water -- particularly in Southern California. We're not -- well, we are dependent on distant sources of water, but the more we conserve, the more we recycle and reclaim water, the more we're going to be able to have control over our own water future.

CalFed should not consider any new or

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

expanded dams, canals, or reservoirs until after alternative solutions have been given a real chance to work. Taxpayers should not subsidize these options. Dams are generally the most expensive and most environmentally damaging.

Again, I'm interested in this partly as an educator, in working with young people who are going to be the ones -- the taxpayers eventually who end up paying for a lot of what we do here and the decisions that are made in this process.

I'm also concerned that buried in the revised Phase 2 report, page 130, there's a proposal for what appears to be construction of the first leg of the peripheral canal and its link to water quality and fish recovery goals in such a way that it would essentially have to be built. I think that this would -- would be damaging to the environment and damaging -- ultimately, would not bring us the water quality we're hoping for.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. MR. BOWLING: Thank you very much. THE HEARING OFFICER: Harry Baldwin, Steve

THE HEARING OFFICER: Harry Baldwin, Arakawa, Burt Tibbet.

MR. BALDWIN: Good evening. My name is Harry Baldwin. I'm the vice mayor of the City of

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

San Gabriel, and I'm also the president of the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments, which represents the 30 cities in the San Gabriel Valley and the 1.9 million residents that we have here.

In my capacity this evening, I'm addressing you as a member of the Water Policy Task Force of the Southern California Association of Governments, which represents the city and county governments in Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Imperial Counties. You may know it as SCAG.

SCAG Water Policy Task Force wants me to review with you tonight certain concerns that we have regarding the financing plan of your preferred program alternative. It is of great concern to us that you want SCAG to comment on a financial plan that does not yet exist.

You set September 23 as the deadline for our comments on the financing plan, but the actual financing planning will not be published until sometime next year. This is not right. We appreciate the importance of a real financing plan. That's why we believe the selection of a preferred alternative cannot precede the completion of a plan that prices the benefits and allocates the costs.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

It is not enough to have a discussion on the various techniques of financing. Instead, we need a realistic plan that allows individuals, businesses, governments, and water agencies to assess the cost benefit realities of the preferred alternative.

As you know from our previous testimony on our region's water quality needs, we are very uncertain about your program's ability to deliver high-quality water. It's because of the choices you recommend, not to mention the unknowns of natural calamity, our source water quality is impaired in the Delta, we will have significant local treatment costs to pay. Unfortunately, clean water drinking standards are not as flexible as your wait-and-see approach to water quality projects.

As you can understand, these local treatment costs are extended costs of your program and for our region's valuable state water project. Since these extended costs create the true cost program – true cost for the program in Southern California, we ask you to fully include these potential added costs in next year's financing plan. We need – all stakeholders need the total financial picture of the cost and benefits of the preferred

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

governance. And I'm here to highlight the high points on that and to give you an idea of how we're going to comment on the EIR.

Currently, our feeling is that Southern
California and Metropolitan's continued support for
the CalFed process will depend upon whether needed
near-term decisions are made. And currently, the
program, as described in the EIR/EIS, does not
provide that detail. In fact, we feel it's headed in
the wrong direction. Benefits for water users in
terms of quality and supply reliability are not
forthcoming, and we feel that, in fact, the process
of decision making is being paralyzed as we try to
get agreement amongst all the parties.

There is a need for reinvigoration of CalFed and to target some very key near-term decisions. Those decisions include source water quality improvement actions that are explicitly defined in the EIR and the record of decision; South Delta improvement program that allows for the capability to pump 10,300 cfs at the bank's pumping plant in the Delta; an environmental water account that's balanced, that provide not only benefits for the environment but benefits for water users in terms of supply reliability and water quality and joining

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

program alternative.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Baldwin. Steve Arakawa, Bert Tibbet, David Gershwin.

MR. ARAKAWA: Thank you. I'm Steve Arakawa, representing Metropolitan Water District. I'm manager of the Water Resource Management Group.

I'm here to address you with regard to a policy statement that our board has adopted this month, which we feel has important implications for CalFed.

Metropolitan Water District has been a full participant in the CalFed process since its inception in 1994, '95, and we continue to believe that CalFed is the best means of moving forward. We have also participated in various efforts to improve the environment, such as ecosystem restoration projects and providing \$30 million for kicking off that effort.

In developing our policy, Metropolitan board spent several months in deliberating over the direction of CalFed, and that happened over a period of six to eight months. They finally came to a policy in August which deals with issues such as water supply, water quality assurances, and

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

the environmental water account with regulatory assurances so that we're not experiencing the situation we had this year with the Delta smelt. And finally, near-term decisions regarding new storage, both groundwater conjunctive use and surface storage, in order to improve the capability of the system.

We believe that the CalFed EIR must fully disclose the impacts based on whether you're looking at improvements in the Delta or outside the Delta, and the public needs to have a full view of how impacts occur based on each of those and what the public cost is to each of those.

In fact, this is the – this is a decision that requires looking at the finances, looking at the costs, and in order for Metropolitan and its users to have a fully informed decision, that will have to be disclosed.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Arakawa.

Let's hear from two more speakers and then we'll give our reporter a ten-minute break to get some feeling back in her hands.

So Bert Tibbet, followed by David Gershwin, followed by a ten-minute break.

Mr. Tibbet.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

MR. TIBBET: My name is Bert Tibbet. I am a member of the board of directors of the Nursery Growers Association of California. I'm chairman of the Executive Committee of the California Association of Nurserymen. And my company is environmentally concerned. They grow beautiful blooming perennials with which to enhance the environment.

I stumped a large portion of this state for the original bond issue when CalFed was getting underway. The program has taken a dramatic turn, which is of great concern to me. The emphasis seems to be on the environmental issues.

In that case, I think we missed picturing in the video one of the most endangered species of all. There wasn't a single picture of a maternity ward and the babies in same. That's what is — what I'm going to consider the most endangered species without an additional supply of water.

You say you are not trying to manage the state water system, and yet everyone in the state would be affected by your conservation moves, more or less, in a negative way. You've got to give us something to offset that, which is an additional supply of water.

What would happen to your investment

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

portfolio if you continued to live off the principal, without ever rebuilding the nut?

This is the case of special interest versus society, and I'm a member of a number of those special interest groups, but my primary concern at this juncture is the benefit of society.

The growth of the population has been discussed by many, and some say the current CalFed plan is an effort to restrict growth in California. But the population growth from our citizenry as it stands today will outstrip the current water supply in the new millennium. It doesn't require legal or illegal immigration to build numbers to use up the water.

All of the window dressing of the video this evening hasn't shown any concrete effort to increase the key water word, quantity.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Tibbet. David Gershwin.

MR. GERSHWIN: Good evening. I'm representing the Los Angeles area Chamber of Commerce, representing 1500 members in Los Angeles, Ventura, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties.

What would you do if your -- if you turned

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

on your faucet and dust came out? There's a finite amount of water in this state for all of our needs: urban, rural, environmental, and agricultural. But our state is projected to have a 43 percent increase in population by the year 2020.

We, as Californians, must take a serious interest in water availability. If water isn't readily available or comes with too steep a price tag, businesses may be forced to leave the state, a scenario which would be devastating to the entire California economy.

How are we prepared to address this problem? The CalFed Bay-Delta program is now at a critical juncture. The recent historic agreement between Metropolitan Water District, the Imperial Irrigation District, and the Coachella Valley Water District has set the stage for a new era in water politics, one which brings us closer to effectively manage our water resources, one which ends decades of fighting, and points towards the compromise necessary to move our state forward.

The Los Angeles area Chamber of Commerce has a long-standing policy recognizing the need for a flexible and balanced water program providing the best solution for the benefit of all Californians.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

That said, the Chamber has some concerns over CalFed's current Draft Environmental Impact Report.

Two of the Chamber's major concerns are water supply and water quality. Without a safe, reliable water supply, our businesses, whether they be grocers, textile manufacturers, biotechnology companies, or construction companies are put in a precarious position.

Water quality is another important issue. With lower water quality coming through the State Water Project from the Bay-Delta, treatment costs and costs to the end user are increased. Water quality is, above all else, a public health issue. Contaminants, such as bromides, in the water supply have been found to have a potential negative impact on pregnant women.

The CalFed program must demonstrate a commitment to addressing these water supply and water quality needs and to balance these among the needs of the stakeholders. The Chamber and other groups believe that the development of new storage and conveyance facilities would be the best way in which to address these issues.

We understand that Governor Davis and

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Interior Secretary Bruce Babbitt have met recently regarding the CalFed program, and we hope that their leadership will guide us through this important process, because after all, the survival of our entire state is at stake.

This is an opportunity for a consensus-based solution. Let's not let it pass us by.

Thanks.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gershwin. We'll take a ten-minute break, and when we come back the first speaker will be Wallace Spinarski, followed by Norm Groot, followed by R. K. Brown. And we'll try to resume at 8:15.

(Recess taken.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry to kind of rush everybody to resume. A couple of our panel members up here have to be in Sacramento first thing tomorrow, and to do that, they have to get the last plane out of Burbank. So I'd like for them to be able to hear as many of you firsthand as possible.

MS. KELLY: So it's two and a half minutes now. UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Well, we know who is leaving.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Wallace Spinarski,

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

1975, most of which has currently been paid off. Since that time the assets of the agency, undepreciated, have grown to \$113 million in plant equipment and right of way.

Our development in the State Water Project is, we have paid in \$188 million to date. Our total obligation over the life of the project is going to approach \$1 billion.

Our budget is financed by a combination of water revenue and property taxes.

To finish off, I will just give you bullet points, because it -- representing some of the things that have been said by previous speakers. We find the CalFed program as presented in the EIS/EIR will most likely result in continuing deterioration of water supply reliability.

The response to water quality issues is not timely enough to meet anticipated regulations. There is no investment in water supply or water quality facilities in the program, and the neglect of the past 20 years appears to – going to continue. The state's water system will be controlled by federal regulators.

CalFed needs to return the negotiations under a revised framework agreement, and the outcome

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Norm Groot, and R. K. Brown.

MR. SPINARSKI: Hi. I'm Wallace Spinarski. I am general manager of Antelope Valley/East Kern Water Agency, most commonly known as AVEC. The service area of AVEC is 2300 square miles in northern Los Angeles County, eastern Kern County, and the northeast corner of Ventura County. We are the third largest contractor in the -- on the State Water Project, with a maximum annual entitlement of 138,400 acre-feet of water annually.

Currently we deliver approximately 70,000 acre-feet of that water on an annual basis. 50,000 acre-feet of it is treated for municipal and industrial water. So that is our stakehold in this process in terms of water quality issues. And we also provide about 20,000 acre-feet of agricultural water that is currently farming carrots, onions, alfalfa, and peaches as the primary crops in the Antelope Valley area.

All of the water that AVEC takes is delivered and priced to encourage in lieu use for -- to offset groundwater overdrafts which have historically occurred in the Antelope Valley area.

The system that AVEC operates was originally funded with a \$72 million bond issue in

must provide for all interests without negatively impacting others. What is needed is mutual certainty amongst all of the interests.

We are somewhat encouraged by the -- what we hear of the meetings between Secretary Babbitt and the governor that provides maybe some degree of comfort to the water users, and we see the actions that are needed as being to establish the environmental water account, to implement self-Delta programs with increase pumping. The 10,300 cfs --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry. The time is gone. MR. SPINARSKI: Okay.

Commit to some level of new storage, improvements for source water quality. And establish a science review panel.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

MR. SPINARSKI: Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Norm Groot, R. K. Brown, Sam Fujimoto.

MR. Groot: Good evening. My name is Norm Groot and I'm employed at Monrovia Nursery Company here in Azusa as director of financial services. I'm also a member of the Farm Bureau and a native Californian, and my livelihood depends on water.

I would like to direct my remarks this

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

evening towards one specific area, that of future water storage facilities. I have been following this CalFed process with keen interest in what the recommended new storage facilities would be either surface or underground. To my dismay and surprise, the document that this process has produced provides no new real storage for future supply increases, and alarmingly, little of this process aims to capture the available rainwater that runs off to the sea every year.

The future of California's water supply relies on one simple principal: storage, storage, and more storage. California's water system would not be able to sustain the growth of the past century, both populace and economic, if it were not for the foresight of a few who saw that storage was the only reliable water source for California -- not water transfers, not conversion of productive farmland, not through the excessive purchase of environmental conservation land.

Why not develop a water management system that captures and stores more water for environmental, agricultural, and the growing population base that provides for the future rather than trying to divide up the already inadequate

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Actions such as taking farmland out of production will result not only in higher consumer prices and less product, will have a broad-range ripple effect on the trucking industry, processing plants, and warehouses, supermarkets, and many other people who operate small businesses.

So I urge a rework of this document with a distinct focus on storage facilities and increasing our already overtaxed water supply. To simply manage our current water by taking from one to give to another is not an acceptable policy for the people of California.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Groot. R. K. Brown, Sam Fujimoto, Peter Swan -- Peer Swan.

MR. BROWN: I am R. L. Brown. I'm a former engineer, retired. I used to be a Department of Water Resources expert, 40 years ago. I was also a hearing's engineer for the Water Rights Board of the state of California, and I was also an elected public official for the Upper San Gabriel Valley Water Municipal Water District a few years ago. So I have 40 years of record in -- of involvement with the water question in the state of California.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

supply?

CalFed must return to its true process, that of producing a plan of assured reliability, high-quality water for all who depend on California's water. California's people, industries, and ecosystems depend on a water management policy that provides for the good of all, equally and fairly, not a policy that extracts from one segment for the benefit of another.

There simply is not enough water to sustain that type of policy over the course of the coming decades. The overwhelmingly large size of CalFed's proposed solution area shows how this process has far exceeded the boundaries of reasonable and workable.

In looking at your document carefully, there are many key details admitted, almost as if we were to expect the missing links to suddenly appear as we proceed with the implementation of this flawed plan.

Your decision will affect millions of people, both personally and economically, if the focus of this document is fish and wildlife. While these are important issues to be dealt with fairly, the current plan will not only hurt industry, but people also.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Speaking to the EIR first, I would ask that the EIR be revised to include the Health Department actions this past month concerning groundwater recharge utilizing sewage water.

So that I ask you to add to your EIR, because it has those three projects that have been held up by the California health experts. One is Northern California, in Livermore Valley, and two are in Los Angeles County, projects ready to go to conserve water.

I live in the San Gabriel Valley today.

The San Gabriel Valley and the river basin system has the highest conservation record of any stream in the world today, including all the streams in Israel. We conserve every year over 95 percent, some years up to 99 percent, of what Mother Nature provides in the local watershed. We do this by constantly reusing the water, and we have a great number of people in the watershed day that are drinking 20 percent, the legal limit, of reclaimed water in their drinking water via the groundwater.

So we're making a major impact upon conservation with local resources. Historically, for 40 years, the people of the San Gabriel River Basin have paid for the research on how much can you use

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

rečlaimed water via the groundwater as drinking water.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Today we are now being asked again to do research that is going to have a worldwide impact. And that's what I ask secondly, that this project -of the necessary research be included as one of the health effect studies as part of this project for the Delta, because the lack of it is going to hold up a lot of conservation projects both in Northern California and in Southern California where groundwater is being utilized for drinking water, and the sewage water is going to be one of the sources.

So I would ask that that be part of the funding process that includes as part of your research project and as part of your -- one of your programs on the water quality.

Secondly, I want to bring out the fact that there are no real concrete projects for water quality outlined in these documents today. One of the things I would ask for is across Delta pipeline to serve Southern California with high quality water, just like San Francisco Bay area has two pipelines already serving them. Equity requires that we have the same treatment in Southern California as the people in the Bay Area get today.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

65

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water capacity.

Which would you pick? Thank you.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

speaking as a rep from the Water Advisory Committee

of Orange County, and which represents the interest

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Brown. Sam Fujimoto, Peer Swan, Margaret Clark.

MR. FUIIMOTO: I am a third -- second generation nurseryman in the Los Angeles area. I have been a nurseryman for 52 years. And I was born in Los Angeles and service the greater Los Angeles area. I am also a member of the Nursery Growers Association, California Association of Nurserymen, **Bedding Plants International and Ohio Florists** Association.

I wanted to add my voice for what I consider a great need for additional storage space for water and for the state of California. I think it's been lacking for a long time and needs to be made up.

If I understand the Colorado River allotment, it's going to diminish as time goes. And one thing that was never mentioned here, as far as agriculture and the value of agriculture to this country, is the balance of payment in trades. If it wasn't for agriculture, computers, and software and Boeing, this country would be in bad shape, real bad shape. I hope you consider that.

In conclusion, I want -- I want to add my

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

of the public and private providers and consumers in the county.

voice to saying that 50 million people populating the

what we do, you're not going to hold that back. It's

A final thought. We have gone through

and I want you to think of an individual, a resident

that's looking at a dry lawn, and then think about

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

a 20-year director of the Irvine Ranch Water

District, which is - serves 20 percent of the area

of Orange County and is a pioneer in the use of

recycled water and water conservation programs.

of the State Water Conservation Coalition, which

preceded this CalFed effort. But tonight I'm

the endangered species problem and weigh the two.

Peer Swan, Margaret Clark, Barbara Wampole.

MR. SWAN: Yes. My name is Peer Swan. And I'm

I'm also an alternate member to the Counsel

of Governments in Orange County and a founding member

periods of drought and shortages and water rationing,

state will require all the services, and no matter

going to happen. The only solution I see is more

We were originally encouraged when CalFed was formed and undertook the task of bringing rationality to the state's water supply emanating from the Delta. We believe the goals of improved water quality, improved reliability, environmental protection but with no surprises, and you know, in a manner that ensured no further losses of our water supply, were key to establishing or reestablishing this water rationality.

We continue to be willing to support programs that accomplish these objectives. However, after examining the proposed EIR/EIS, we found that these items - you know, key to providing this rationality to this important environment, growing urban area, are missing. And let me explain.

First, instead of providing water quality improvements, the document provides only an aim -and I quote, "aim to reduce certain contaminants."

What is needed is a firm commitment to achieve water quality that eases compliance with current and future drinking water regulations, many of which are already known. This is a public health issue and should not be subject to much negotiation.

66

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

68

Instead of providing a reliable supply, the document establishes "reliability goals," mostly by the reallocation of existing water supplies by taking water from one user for another's benefit or, as the document says, "increasing the utility of available water."

Third, instead of providing a firm contract for water in exchange for a given set of environmental protections, we have a situation where after the acceptance of the CalFed plan as currently envisioned, all water users should be subject to further water loss by the identification of a new endangered species. Pretty soon there's no water left.

An acceptable alternative is to have a contractor categorical exemption granted by both the state and federal government which exempts Delta water projects from current and future regulatory reductions as long as the project operates within preauthorized and agreed upon parameters. "On average, the state has over 80 million acre-feet of usable water. It uses only 45 percent. 55 percent is environmental water. That environmental water would take care of the rest of the population in the U.S. and Canada, combined, in addition to California.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

So it's a water rich state. The people deserve a reliable, high-quality water supply. This document currently does not provide that. The document fails to achieve its stated objectives and needs to be revised so it will, and only then will we support it.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Swan.

We are down to our last several cards. Let me make this kind of the last call for yellow cards. If you wish to speak and haven't already signed up, please fill out one of these yellow cards at the back.

Our next speaker will be Margaret Clark, followed by Barbara Wampole, followed by Tim Worley.

MS. CLARK: I am Margaret Clark, and I'm speaking as private citizen, although I have a couple of other hats. I'm mayor pro tem in the city of Rosemead and I'm chairwoman of the San Gabriel Water Basin Authority, which was created to oversee the groundwater pollution in the San Gabriel Basin.

And one of the things that concerns me, that maybe some people in Northern California that think that we're stealing their water don't realize is that, for example, two years ago, perchloride and

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

MDMA was discovered -- were discovered in the groundwater, and we're going to be spending millions cleaning it up.

Without getting into who is at fault, those were chemicals that were part of the war effort in World War II, and we're free to come to a public hearing such as this because we were able to defeat a despot in that war.

And so we are going to be spending a lot on cleaning up water. And, as has been said before, the clean water standards are coming down, regardless of what happens with CalFed. We're going to have to meet those standards, and we're going to be spending, again, a lot of money.

And my concern is if the perception is that we are not — we in Southern California are not getting out of the CalFed process what we need, then if you're relying on voter — assertion — votes "yes" for any kind of a bond to finance this, they're not going to want to do it in Southern California. And then all of the stakeholders — the ag, the urban, and the environment — will suffer, and the process will go down in flames, and I would hate to see that happen. So that's my concern.

Thank you.

iank your

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Clark.
Barbara Wampole, Tim Worley, Lynne
Plambeck.

MS. WAMPOLE: Good evening and thank you for having this hearing for us. My name is Barbara Wampole. I'm with Friends of the Santa Clara River, which is Northern Los Angeles and Eastern Ventura County.

Friends of the Santa Clara River is concerned that the integrity of our ecosystem and of our state's rivers are maintained and improved wherever possible.

I personally believe that retention of high-quality agriculture in California is a matter of national security. This is a complex issue.

This kind of -- I personally -- this -- the kind of growth in the state is the greatest threat to our water supply. We need smart growth. It won't happen unless we define it and how it uses water.

Our local state water company doesn't see this the way we do. They expect the San Joaquin Valley's rapid increase in permanent crops to answer urban needs. They feel the increase in crops like orchards and vineyards versus row crops will necessitate a greater search for secure supplies of

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

water. That is, dams. New dams. That search will address ours -- our need in our area where urban uses have grown exponentially.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Can our area expect to depend on the rest of the state to sort out this project? We feel this is irresponsible. We would like to see CalFed encourage the study and implementation of means for greater retention of water for recharge in rapidly developing areas.

We want to see CalFed reduce the dependence of Northern California -- our dependence on Northern California water, encouraging end users in Southern California to do things like use passive flood control, conservation easements, require urban users to build all new communities with strict conservation measures that make use of runoff through preserving high-quality recharge lands, require conversion to permeable surfacing materials, maintain broad creek and river floodplains for both recharge and passive flood control.

When our local water board took a group of us on a tour of the State Water Project this spring. I learned more than I ever imagined in three short days. It has been suggested by some Northern Californians that for water quality, if the Delta

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

water were applied to users that don't require extensive purification, nearer users in Southern California could maybe take advantage of the waters that come off lower -- lower regions.

That might mean that -- if this makes any sense -- that -- and maybe this has been suggested by lots of other people -- that there would be opportunities, then, to do less purification.

No one wants to address the issues of urban powers. The American dream is not the right to waste. We have to modify the dream. They're putting lakes in our area in Southern California in semi deserts. For hundreds of years we have reduced the waters of our natural environment that made the state the beautiful place that we moved to for a healthy life. It's drawn millions of people here for a better future. Now is the time and place where a reversal must happen. The damaging, irresponsible, and unsustainable urban uses must be addressed in CalFed for the future.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Miss Wampole. Tim Worley, Lynne Plambeck, Peter Adams. MR. WORLEY: My name is Tim Worley. I'm deputy general manager of Three Valleys Municipal Water

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

District.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

73

CalFed is doing some things right, and we still hope we can, quote, all get better together, but in three minutes I have to focus only on the deficiencies.

First, water quality. We treat Delta water for domestic consumption, and we are extremely concerned about our ability to remain in compliance with drinking water standards unless serious actions are taken to improve water quality at the source.

My agency supports the goal of continuous improvement in the quality of Delta exports as well as specific targets set for total organic carbon, bromide, and salinity, but we need to see credible steps to attain those targets and a timetable of early actions so that CalFed can achieve the desired results when they are needed.

What we see so far is literally too little, too late. The record of decision should define specific water quality milestones linked to specific actions to be taken in the critical first phase of implementation.

CalFed must include in the final EIS/EIR a far more comprehensive analysis of all possible alternatives to meet its water quality goals,

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

including actions outside the Delta, such as water exchanges or advanced treatment. This analysis must include the cost effectiveness of all the alternatives and their economic as well as environmental impacts.

In the area of water supply, flexibility is the key. The integrated storage investigation must yield implementable projects and identify sources of funds necessary to their success. It is essential that the bank's pumping plant capacity is expanded to 10,300 cfs by the end of Stage 1, and CalFed should commit to that in the ROD.

Further, the Delta smelt issue earlier this year spotlighted the necessity of a no-surprises policy to overcome regulatory impacts to the water supply. CalFed should also make this commitment in the ROD.

Finally, a few words about costs. CalFed needs to ensure that in each program area, proposed actions provide real benefits to those asked to pay and that these benefits are commensurate with their share of the costs.

In other words, the concept of users pay goes only to the extent that users pay their fair share.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

76

75

. 1

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Worley.
There's a telephone message here for Mylo
Hall. Mylo Hall? Anyone know him or -LINIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE SPEAKER: I think he's

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE SPEAKER: I think he's left.

THE HEARING OFFICER: He's left. All right. Thank you.

Lynne Plambeck, Peter Adams, George Riippi.
MS. PLAMBECK: My name is Lynn Plambeck, and I live in Santa Clarita Valley in Northern Los Angeles County, and I would just like to echo the very wonderful statement that the president of the L.A. Chamber said, that water is finite, and it seems so many people don't seem to realize that in order to make existing water sources stretch, we need to think differently and we need to think smart.

And I would just like it said that I don't think the old way of building dams is the new, smart solutions that we need. We need to look at soft

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

olutions solutions that can evolve ever time

solutions, solutions that can evolve over time. Conservation use means we need to look at new and different solutions.

I would also like to encourage the CalFed process to -- there were some statements that it was looking too far afield in Southern California. Sometimes I think it doesn't look far enough. We need to encourage our own -- better watershed management in our own areas to ensure supply, protecting our recharge areas in the land use process, and looking at how we grow.

And also, if CalFed is going to be funded by future bonds, we also really need to focus much more on Southern California than 204 did. We need help with our water quality issues and our -- improving our own watersheds here, and that will lessen the need for Northern California water and provide some of the new and better reliable -- more reliable sources that Southern California folks need to really -- really want to demand of you.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Miss Plambeck.
Peter Adam, George Riippi, Richard Cuzinski
[phonetic].

MR. ADAM: My name is Peter Adam. Thank you for

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

this opportunity to address you. I'm a fifth generation farmer from Santa Maria Valley, up in Santa Barbara County. We farm 800 acres of broccoli, lettuce, cauliflower, celery, and bell peppers.

We are part of the State Water Project.
CalFed will affect State Water Project's service areas, including the coastal branch. CalFed will make artificial supplies available for development by groundwater storage in our basin. Actual communities will be built in reliance on those artificial supplies.

These supplies will be artificial because when they are most needed, during critically dry years, the fish will take priority in allocation, having been preordained of greater importance than man.

Further, this process should be just terminated without the inclusion of additional surface storage supplies, which were the only carrot that attracted agriculture to the table in the first place.

Please to not take land out of production, put farmers and their employees and vendors out of business, and put more than 100 years of property rights-based water law in jeopardy.

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Adam. George Riippi, Richard Cuzinski -- I hope

I'm pronouncing that correctly -- and then our last speaker, Robin Ives.

MR. RIIPPI: Hi. My name is George Riippi. I am speaking as a private citizen. I support the concept of conservation. We have done a lot in the way of conservation, but there's a lot of things we could do more. I'll tell you from my personal experience.

I came driving through Aqua -- let's see -- was it -- Aqua Dulce, and I looked at some new housing going out there. They are planting beautiful lawns, green as you could ever imagine a lawn ever being, and I was looking and thinking, this is a desert area. Where are they getting all that water? They are probably pulling it up from the ground.

Maybe people don't know that the Antelope Valley has sunk about five feet since 1950. So I mean, that's from the pressure of the water being pumped up.

I was thinking what we need is a major conservation effort in the urban areas. A lot of water being used for lawns -- as we mentioned of the

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

dry lawns during a drought.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have a friend. I could show you -- I don't -- I don't think she wants me to show everybody. But she waters -- I've been there a lot of times. She has a beautiful flower garden, mainly native flowers, and she waters about four times a year. And she's been doing this for years. It's a really nice-looking piece of property in the backyard and the front yard.

Now, I also can tell you about - you know. I don't know if you know a place called Little Tuscany. It's a nickname. It's in the north part of Palm Springs. They have beautiful houses there. A very high-class place. They also have a lot of cactus. And the place looks really terrific.

And now, if people would utilize cactus -see, a lot of people come from back East, and the minute you get a lot of people from back East, they say, "I'm not going to live in the desert. It's brown. It's horrible. Ahh. I want green lawns."

I mean, you know, that's what the problem is, a lot. We are trying to fulfill a life-style, which is really putting a lot of pressure here.

I support the farmers a lot, because we've got to grow crops here. This is a great state for

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

growing crops, and we shouldn't pave it over and ruin it, you know. I mean, look at all the new - just drive out the freeway, out 14, and see all the new places going up. You'll see all the lawns going up.

And you can see -- imagine how much water is being put into that. I mean, before it was just dry chaparral that just required only the rainwater. So I mean, we've got to - I think we have to have a major urban planning thing. Get rid of lawns, in general. I mean, really. Get rid of lawns in general. They use up enormous amounts of water. And you can have beautiful, flowery, native and - and a mixture of other, maybe, but mostly native all over the place. It looks great. I tell you, it does.

And I think that -- I hear a lot of down on the fish. Well, the fishery industry used to be a good-size industry here in California, so we shouldn't throw away one industry, supposedly, to save another industry when you don't really have to. All you have to do is maybe change some techniques. This isn't not -- anyway, bye-bye.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Riippi.

MR. CUZINSKI: Yes. Thank you for coming to

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

Pasadena for this hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

81

Not one thing has been mentioned about desalization of water. We have a big storage tank out there in the ocean, and is it now time to start looking at maybe desalinating that water?

A few of the things that I had also sort of wrote before I came here, and it was that one of our -- our greatest need is to approach a conservation method first. We need to educate our California residents.

Most people are really not educated about water use. They just think it's going to be there, and they use it whenever they want. But we just -we -- you have to look out at our state landscape. and everything out here is using water. Every year the landscape is changing and every year less open space exists. Every year the growth is faster than the year before. And the greatest engine of all this growth is water. And so we -- I believe we have to regulate our growth by regulating our water use.

And I also - a few other things. And that is, bottled water sales. We're starting to sell a lot of our groundwater out of state as bottled water. I mean, no one's paying attention to that. And I wonder how much agricultural products do we export

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

from our state that's -- all that water is going out to, and we're not getting that back.

But I mean, I believe in the farming thing, but I believe we need to have them grow crops, not like rice, where they are using an awful lot of water.

So I believe that we need to do the right thing for our environment first, with fish, trees, and animals. They do not vote, but they do help pay their fair share for our recreational users, and we have a heck of a lot of recreational users in this state that use water.

Thank you very much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. Robin Ives.

MR. IVES: My name is Robin Ives. I live in Claremont, California.

The Bay-Delta area is a treasure of California, and CalFed needs to really guarantee that there will be more fresh water flowing through there for the wildlife that's there and for the people of California.

I have heard a statement that it's a - if we do that, it's a question of lawns or endangered species. Well, I'd rather have lawns, which use very

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

84

83

little water, then have bald eagles around. I think that's very important.

Los Angeles has shown in a way it's using no more water now than it used in the '70s. CalFed should implement these practices which have been developed in Los Angeles for a great need, statewide, so we don't waste so much water.

Thank you.

1.4

=

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Ives.

And thank all of you for coming tonight and for this very helpful series of statements. This is, as I said earlier, one of a series of hearings being held all over the state. All the comments will be taken very seriously and analyzed carefully.

We thank you again for coming.

Hearing adjourned.

(The hearing was adjourned at 8:50 p.m.)

---000---

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377

CERTIFICATE

I, JOANNE P. CUNNINGHAM, C.S.R. No. 2734, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place stated herein, and represent a true and correct transcript of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not interested in the event of the action.

Witness my hand this 7th day of September, 1999.

Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California

PORTALE & ASSOCIATES (209) 462-3377